

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,594	06/25/2003	Viren Kapadia	52493.000253	1431	
21967 HUNTON & V	7590 03/04/200 VILLIAMS LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			MCCORMICK,	MCCORMICK, GABRIELLE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/602,594	KAPADIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GABRIELLE MCCORMICK	3629		

	GABRIELLE MCCORMICK	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed an expension of the communication. 10 Opened for reply side to the communication of th						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail De					

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- This action is in reply to the amendment filed on January 31, 2008.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 17 and 20 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 have been canceled.
- Claims 2 and 4-20 are currently pending and have been examined.

Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 6 has been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the term "user". The Examiner thanks the Applicant and withdraws the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 2, 4, 6-8, 10-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leadtrack.com (pages documented form the Internet Archive on July 21, 2001 (http://web.archive.org/web/20010806083606/leadtrack.com/screen-customer.html; http://web.archive.org/web/20011117000929/www.leadtrack.com/sysrequire.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010806085400/leadtrack.com/screen-desktop.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010806080042/leadtrack.com/appfeatures.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010801185319/leadtrack.com/features.html;

Art Unit: 3629

http://web.archive.org/web/20010811025743/leadtrack.com/reports/terr.gif) hereinafter referred to as "Leadtrack").

Claims 4 and 17: Leadtrack discloses (see pg. 3 for various "Hardware Requirements")

 inputting sales leads, having sales lead information, into a sales lead system, the sales lead system identifying an assigned sales agent to work the lead; (Pg. 1: "data is entered via keyboard"; LEADtrack V7x is the sales lead system; "Mrs. Rebecca Wolfe" is the example of

the assigned sales agent.)

 transmitting the lead information to a web based lead system, which is associated with the assigned agent; (pg. 3; para. 4: "Web-Enabled Deployment Requirements")

 accessing the lead information, by the assigned sales agent, by accessing the web based lead system; (pg. 3; para. 4: "Web-Enabled Deployment Requirements"; "No software other than a browser is required at the remote access location." A processor is inherent in the

operation of the web-enabled application)

• presenting the lead information, along with a plurality of other lead information respectively associated with a plurality of other leads, (pg. 1: a contact name is presented in the body of the lead, along with other lead information, specifically, address, territory, sales person assigned, status, etc.) to the assigned sales agent using an agent processor by transmitting the lead information from the web based lead system to the agent processor, the lead information including dispositioning information, agent note information and source of sale information. (pg. 1: "This screen displays data"; "Opening Status" provides disposition information; "Notes" button and "Addl Info" button links to note information; and "Source Code" field provides source of sale information.

• wherein the plurality of other leads is presented to the assigned agent in a segregated manner based on attributes of each respective plurality of leads. (pg. 1: The left hand column entitled "Company" provides access to a plurality of leads which are segregated based on the attribute of the company name. Note, the company name is clicked on to reveal the full lead detail, such as a contact name. It is understood that the contact name is the actual lead in

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/602.594

Art Unit: 3629

that the contact facilitates the buying decisions for the company. By presenting the company name in a separate column, access to the lead information is segregated from the body of the lead based on attributes.).

- Claim 2: Leadtrack discloses at least one of potential customer name, personal information and contact information. (pg. 1).
- 10. Claim 6: Leadtrack discloses a calendar being presented to a user by the agent processor, the calendar including scheduled appointments for respective associated sales leads. (pg. 4: calendar and "ToDo List" with appointment information).
- Claim 7: Leadtrack discloses the assigned sales agent, in conjunction with working the lead, inputs worked information relating to working the lead. (pg. 1: "Contact History"; "AddI Info" and "Notes" buttons).
- Claim 8: Leadtrack discloses one of appointment information and call-back information. (pg. 1: "REQUEST SALES CALL" (call-back information) and pg. 4: "ToDo List" (appointment information).
- 13. Claims 10, 11, 12 and 19: Leadtrack discloses accessing the lead information, by a sales management person, the sales management person monitoring working of the lead by the assigned agent and viewing...in real time...in a regional office. (Pg. 7; para. 2 provides for use of "LEADtrack Plus" by sales departments. Sales management persons are inherently included in a sales department, further, it is inherent in the role of sales management that the activities of the sales staff, such as working a lead, are monitored. Further, pg. 8; para. 1 provides for access remotely over in the Internet through automatic downloads and uploads of sales leads across territories (real time viewing in regional offices). Thus, sales management located at a remote corporate location can monitor activities.).
- 14. Claim 13: Leadtrack discloses the assigned agent entering information regarding a new agent generated lead into the agent processor, the new agent generated lead being transmitted to the lead processing portion (pg. 1), and wherein feedback information is provided to the assigned agent based on automated processing of the new agent generated lead in the lead processing

Art Unit: 3629

portion. (pg. 5; bullet 6: feedback is provided via the "automatic on-line checking for duplicates and competitors during data entry.").

15. Claims 14 and 18: Leadtrack discloses presenting lead detail information, dispositioning information, agent note information and source of sale information to the assigned agent all on a single viewable screen. (pg. 1 and pg. 5: "Each record has a pop-up window to display Repeat Inquiry History, unlimited Sales Contact History, additional qualifying information..." (agent note information is visible as a pop-up displayed on the "Customer Master File Screen" on pg. 1).

16. Claim 20: Leadtrack discloses

- inputting sales leads, having sales lead information, into a sales lead system, the sales lead system identifying an assigned sales agent to work the lead; (Pg. 1: "data is entered via keyboard"; LEADtrack V7x is the sales lead system; "Mrs. Rebecca Wolfe" is the example of the assigned sales agent.)
- transmitting the lead information to a web based lead system, which is associated with the assigned agent; (pg. 3; para. 4: "Web-Enabled Deployment Requirements")
- accessing the lead information, by the assigned sales agent, by accessing the web based lead system; (pg. 3; para. 4: "Web-Enabled Deployment Requirements"; "No software other than a browser is required at the remote access location." A processor is inherent in the operation of the web-enabled application)
- presenting the lead information, along with a plurality of other lead information respectively associated with a plurality of other leads, (pg. 1: a contact name is presented in the body of the lead, along with other lead information, specifically, address, territory, sales person assigned, status, etc.) to the assigned sales agent using an agent processor by transmitting the lead information from the web based lead system to the agent processor, the lead information including dispositioning information, agent note information and source of sale information. (pg. 1: "This screen displays data", "Opening Status" provides disposition information; "Notes" button and "Addl Info" button links to note information; and "Source Code" field provides source of sale information.

Art Unit: 3629

• the assigned agent entering information regarding a new agent generated lead into the agent

processor, the new agent generated lead being transmitted to the lead processing portion

(pg. 1), and wherein feedback information is provided to the assigned agent based on

automated processing of the new agent generated lead in the lead processing portion. (pg. 5;

bullet 6: feedback is provided via the "automatic on-line checking for duplicates and

competitors during data entry.")

• presenting lead detail information, dispositioning information, agent note information and

source of sale information to the assigned agent all on a single viewable screen. (pg. 1 and

pg. 5: "Each record has a pop-up window to display Repeat Inquiry History, unlimited Sales

Contact History, additional qualifying information..." (agent note information is visible as a

pop-up displayed on the "Customer Master File Screen" on pg. 1).

· wherein the plurality of other leads is presented to the assigned agent in a segregated

manner based on attributes of each respective plurality of leads. (pg. 1: The left hand column

entitled "Company" provides access to a plurality of leads which are segregated based on the

attribute of the company name. Note, the company name is clicked on to reveal the full lead

detail, such as a contact name. It is understood that the contact name is the actual lead in

that the contact facilitates the buying decisions for the company. By presenting the company

name in a separate column, access to the lead information is segregated from the body of the

lead.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pretains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/602,594

Art Unit: 3629

18. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leadtrack.com (pages documented form the Internet Archive on July 21 2001 (http://web.archive.org/web/20010806083606/leadtrack.com/screen-customer.html: http://web.archive.org/web/20011117000929/www.leadtrack.com/sysrequire.html; http://web.archive.org/web/20010806085400/leadtrack.com/screen-desktop.html; http://web.archive.org/web/20010806080042/leadtrack.com/appfeatures.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010801185319/leadtrack.com/features.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010811025743/leadtrack.com/reports/terr.gif) hereinafter referred to as "Leadtrack") in view of Melchione et al. (US Pat. No. 5,930,764 hereinafter referred to as "Melchione").

- 19. Claim 5: Leadtrack discloses the method of claim 4. Leadtrack does not disclose leads that have not been previously worked by another agent is presented to the assigned agent separately from leads that have been previously worked by another agent.
- 20. Melchione, however, discloses the "lead audit trail screen displays leads that have been worked by others" as a selectable feature, therefore presenting the data separately. (col. 40; lines 27-31).
- 21. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included separately displaying previously worked leads, as disclosed by Melchione, in the system disclosed by Leadtrack, for the motivation of displaying leads that have been worked by others that are reassigned as a result of employee reassignment or turnover.
- Claim 9: Leadtrack discloses the method of claim 7. Leadtrack further discloses lead disposition
 information being input by the assigned agent upon completing working of the lead by the
 assigned agent (pg. 9; "Lead Status Key.... E—ON-GOING DISCUSSIONS").
- Leadtrack does not disclose the disposition information controlling further automated processing
 of the sales lead.
- 24. Melchione, however, discloses flagging a customer "as a managed household to alert other sales staff that the customer has an exclusive relationship with a personal banker. It also guarantees

Art Unit: 3629

that leads distributed...are assigned to the personal banker for that customer." (col. 36; lines 47-56).

- 25. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included processing controlled by disposition information, as disclosed by Melchione, in the system disclosed by Leadtrack, for the motivation of providing the customer with the benefit of dealing with only one banker, (Melchione; col.36; lines 56-58).
- 26. <u>Claims 15 and 16</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leadtrack.com (pages documented form the Internet Archive on July 21, 2001 (http://web.archive.org/web/20010806083606/leadtrack.com/screen-customer.html; http://web.archive.org/web/20011117000929/www.leadtrack.com/sysrequire.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010806085400/leadtrack.com/screen-desktop.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010806080042/leadtrack.com/appfeatures.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010801185319/leadtrack.com/features.html;

http://web.archive.org/web/20010811025743/leadtrack.com/reports/terr.gif) hereinafter referred to as "Leadtrack") in view of Hollister (US Pub. No. 2003/0229504) in view of Official Notice.

- 27. Claims 15 and 16: Leadtrack discloses the method of claim 14. Leadtrack does not distinguish between the agent and the company as the source of the leads (a lead is an example of sale information) and therefore being presented on a single viewable screen.
- 28. However, Hollister discloses, "If a broker generates the lead through his marketing activities, he/she frequently charges the agent a referral fee (like 25-30% of the commission)." (para. [0024]).
- 29. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included identifying the source of the lead (sale information) as to whether agent or company (e.g., broker) generated in order to determine commissions, as disclosed by Hollister, in the system disclosed by Leadtrack, for the motivation of providing fair compensation

Art Unit: 3629

for expenses incurred by a broker to generate leads. (Hollister: para. [0024]: whoever pays to generate the lead "owns" the lead.)

30. The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the database arts to customize the format of data tables to include user definable fields such that all pertinent data can be displayed in a single screen.

31. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included viewing all pertinent data on one screen in the system disclosed by Leadtrack, for the motivation of providing summary reports.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed January 31, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. The Applicant asserts that Leadtrack does not disclose the limitation of "wherein the plurality of other leads is presented to the assigned agent in a segregated manner based on attributes of each respective plurality of leads". The Examiner has pointed to page 1 of the Leadtrack reference to illustrate the segregation based on company name from the body of the lead. See also the discussion above.
- 3. With regard to claim 10, the Applicant argues a limitation not found in the claim. Specifically, the claim states "accessing the lead information, by a sales management person, the sales management person monitoring working of the lead by the assigned agent" not, as Applicant purports "that the sales management person can access the lead information for "monitoring working of the lead by the assigned person"...". Giving the claim the broadest reasonable interpretation, the claim does not explicitly disclose that the purpose of management access is for monitoring working, rather that the management person that accesses the information has the role of monitoring working of sales leads. As such, the rejection describes the inherent role of a sales department, inclusive of sales management persons (per Applicant's admission on pg. 8 of the Remarks), to monitor work.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,594

Art Unit: 3629

4. With regard to Applicant's argument that synchronization implies that information is not available for viewing in real time, the Examiner disagrees. Synchronization, in the computer arts, in performed at computer speed, which, for all intents and purposes, represents real time to a human, therefore, synchronization does not imply a time lag that would result in information not available for viewing in real time. Further, the Examiner provides a definition of synchronization that states, "road warriors can get real-time access to corporate information via wireless, direct dial, or desktop synchronization." (www.netlingo.com/lookup.cfm?term=synchronization)

5. The Examiner notes that Applicant did not challenge the Official Notice taken on claim 15. The Examiner notes that customizing the format of data tables to include user definable fields such that all pertinent data can be displayed in a single screen is taken to be admitted prior art because Applicant failed to traverse the Examiner's assertion of Official Notice.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gabrielle McCormick whose telephone number is (571)270-1828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (5:30 - 4:00 pm).

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/602,594

Art Unit: 3629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John

Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/G. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/John G. Weiss/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629